## Questions from Cupid

Happy Valentine's Day from the Mendik Library!

As our Valentine's Day gift to you, here's your opportunity to WIN research aids, Lexis points, NYLS t-shirts, study guides and cool study tools.

Provide an answer to **any four** of the following seven questions for a chance to win!

Each slide includes one question and easy, step-by-step instructions. Press enter to move on to each new question. Answer all seven questions and receive an additional entry!

Drop your answer sheet into the Reference Desk raffle drum by 5 pm on Thursday, February 11, or complete the answer sheet online and email it to reference@nyls.edu.

Juestion 1

Find the Supreme Court of North Carolina opinion which *affirmed* Cupid's conviction of robbery with a dangerous weapon (Note: It wasn't a bow and he didn't steal a heart).

- $\blacktriangleright$  Sign in to <u>LexisNexis</u>.
- Click on jurisdictional drop down (between the search box and the magnifying glass)
- Select **Category** and check cases.
- Click on Jurisdiction and select North Carolina
- Select North Carolina Supreme Court
- Click on Advanced Search (above the search box)
- In the Party Name text box, enter Cupid (run search)
- ➢ Look through the results to find the answer, or:

\* Type affirm! In the **Search within results** box (left of screen) and run search.

\* Under Narrow By Court, click on Supreme Court.



Juestion 2

Find the ONLY time in the U.S. where Valentine and Love have been adverse parties. While their litigation reached their state's Supreme Court several times, only give the citation to the December, 2015 decision.

- $\succ$  Sign in to <u>LexisNexis</u>.
- Click on jurisdictional drop down (between the search box and the magnifying glass)
- Select Category and check cases.
- Click on Advanced Search (above the search box)
- In the Party Name text box, enter Valentine & Love
- Use the Date drop down, select Date is between and enter From 12/01/2015 To 12/31/2015. (run search)



Find the Pennsylvania case where an appellate court ruled that a physician's extramarital affair with a patient's spouse, who was also the physician's patient, did not constitute medical malpractice.



- $\succ$  Sign in to <u>Westlaw</u>
- Select State Materials
- Select Pennsylvania
- Select All Pennsylvania State Cases
- In the search bar, type: malpractice /p "extramarital affair" /p patient /p spouse



In 1992, an Ohio appellate court ruled that a dissatisfied former husband could not sue his former brother-in-law, who had advertised his sister for a negotiated marriage, for fraudulent inducement and intentional infliction of emotional distress based on alleged undisclosed "defects" in the sister. The court relied in part on Ohio's Married Woman's Act of 1887. What was the name of the judge who wrote the court's opinion?



- Sign in to <u>Westlaw</u>
- ➢ In the search bar, type: Married Woman's Act
- Select **Cases** from the filters on the left side
- ➤ Under Jurisdiction, expand the dropdown menu for States
- Select Ohio and click on Apply Filters
- Change the Sort by dropdown to Date

Love in the library (catalog). Find a book in the Mendik Library collection containing "Valentine's Day" in the title.

restion 5

≻ Go to <u>www.nyls.edu/library</u>

You can search the library catalog using the Search Our Catalog box on the library homepage

Make sure the drop-down menu to the left of the search field is set at *Keyword* and enter Valentine's Day



uestion 6

Guess it wasn't a Match.com made in heaven. A number of Match.com customers filed suit against the online dating service for breach of contract, claiming that over half of the profiles belonged to inactive members or were fake. In which U.S. District Court was this 2012 case filed?

- ➢ Go to the library homepage at <u>nyls.edu/library</u>
- From the Electronic Resources quadrant, click All
  Subscription Resources and select Fastcase
- On the left side, select Advanced Case Law Search
- ➢ In the search box type: Match.com & breach /2 contract
- ➢ Be sure that Keyword Search (Boolean) is selected
- Under Select Jurisdictions choose All District Courts
- Under Search Options select the following date range: January 2012 - December 2012
- ➤ Search





Find the Connecticut case where the husband, citing his wedding vow "til death do us part," alleged that the court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over the dissolution of marriage action filed by his wife because the parties' marriage was effectively ended when the husband died (but was resuscitated) on three separate occasions.

- ➢ Log in to LexisNexis
- To the right of the search bar, select **Search: Everything**
- ➢ In the Jurisdiction tab, Narrow By: Connecticut
- In the search box above, run the following terms and connectors search: (dissolution /3 marriage) & (lack /10 jurisdiction) & "until death do us part"